Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/02/21 : CIA-RDP87R00029R000300610006-4

CONFIDENTIAL

NIO/AL INCOMING

25X1

25X1

| / / | FRP: . ,3, , , |
|--|----------------|
| | STATE |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 84 3048688 SCR PAGE 001 TOR: 061557Z JAN 8 | |
| RR RUEAIIB | |
| ZNY CCCCC ZOC STATE ZZH | |
| JTS2895 RR RUEHC | |
| DE RUEHUL #0096 0060116 ZNY CCCCC ZZH | |
| R 060050Z JAN 84 | |
| FM AMEMBASSY SEDUL TO RUEHDC/USDOC WASHDC | |
| INFO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC 1394 | |
| CONFIDENTIAL | |
| LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SEOUL 00096 | |
| USDOC FOR 6320/OMP/EP/FCROSLEY | |
| E.C. 12356: N/A | |
| TAGS: BENC, ENRG, TRGY, KSCA, KS SUBJECT: STATE AND | |
| Korea Nuclear Units 1 | 1 and 12 |

- REGARDING KNU 11 AND 12, THERE INDEED HAVE BEEN CONTINUING DELAYS IN THE DATE EXPECTED FOR THE RFP EVER SINCE THE SUMMER OF 1982. LATEST WORD FROM KOREA ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY (KEPCO) IS THAT THERE WILL BE NO RFP BEFORE SEPTEMBER 1984. GROUNDBREAKING FOR KNU 11 IS NOW SCHEDULED FOR APRIL 1986 WITH COMMERCIAL OPERATION TO START IN 1993. GIVEN PREVIOUS DELAYS BY THE KOREAN GOVERNMENT ON KNU 11 AND 12, WE WOULD NOT BE SURPRISED TO SEE THE TENTATIVE SEPTEMBER 84 DATE SLIP FURTHER. THE PRIMARY PROBLEM AS WE HAVE HEARD IS NOT SO MUCH INDEBTEDNESS BUT INSTEAD THE SUBSTANTIAL EXCESS OF ELECTRIC POWER GENERATION CAPACITY IN RELATION TO THE CURRENT ELECTRICITY DEMAND. FORECASTS ON DEMAND MADE SEVERAL YEARS AGO WERE TOO HIGH. LOCAL U.S. NUCLEAR VENDOR RECENTLY TOLD FCS OFFICER THAT KOREANS INFORMED THEM THAT KEPCO NOW HAD ALMOST 30 PERCENT EXCESS GENERATING CAPACITY. PARADOXICALLY, RATE DF INCREASE IN ELECTRICITY DEMAND INCREASED FASTER THAN PREDICTED FOR 1983 BUT IT APPARENTLY WAS NOT ENOUGH TO OFFSET DECISION TO FURTHER DELAY KNU 11 AND 12 PROJECTS.
- 2. WORLD BANK REPORT NOTED REFTEL DID RECOMMEND THAT ROK CURTAIL, OR AT LEAST DELAY THEIR AMBITIOUS PLANS FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANT CONSTRUCTION BECAUSE OF SEVERAL FACTORS WHICH WERE: GROWING EXTERNAL DEBT OF ROK; CURRENT LOWER THAN EXPECTED PRICE FOR PETROLUEM; ABOVE MENTIONED EXCESS GENERATING CAPACITY; AND COMPETITIVENESS OF COAL FIRED PLANTS AS OPPOSED TO RELATIVELY EXPENSIVE CONSTRUCTION OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS. THERE IS, HOWEVER, NO HARD INFORMATION TO SUGGEST THAT KEPCO WILL BE TURNING COMPLETELY TO COAL FIRED PLANTS IN PLACE OF NUCLEAR FOR KNU 11 AND 12 PROJECTS. FYI: WE UNDERSTAND THAT THERE WILL BE A CABINET LEVEL MEETING TOWARDS THE END OF THIS MONTH WHERE IT IS EXPECTED THAT A ROKG DECISION WILL BE REACHED OR WHETHER OR NOT TO

CONFIDENTIAL

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/02/21: CIA-RDP87R00029R000300610006-4



84 3048688

SCR

PAGE 002 TOR: 061557Z JAN 84 NC 3048688

PROCEED WITH TWO (2) ADDITIONAL COAL FIRED PLANTS OF 500 MEGAWATT ELECTRIC OUTPUT EACH. HOWEVER, A KNOWLEDGE-ABLE SOURCE TELLS US THAT EVEN IF THESE TWO FOSSIL UNITS GOT THE OFFICIAL GO AHEAD, THAT THESE WOULD NOT REPLACE KNU 11 AND 12. THE NUCLEAR PLANTS WILL COME ON LINE ALBEIT AT A CONSIDERABLY LATER DATE THAN WAS ANTICIPATED A YEAR AGO. (FCS:PCDIEHL). WALKER END OF MESSAGE

CONFIDENTIAL

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/02/21: CIA-RDP87R00029R000300610006-4